TAMBELLINI GROUP
TRUSTED MARKET ADVISORS®
part of MG:T

Rebuilding the Digital Learning Environment: A Strategic Guide to LMS Transformation, Course Quality Renewal, and AI Readiness

WHITE PAPER

By Matthew Winn, PhD
January 2026

Contents

Executive Summary

Higher education is entering a decisive moment in the evolution of digital learning. Over the past two decades, institutions have expanded online and hybrid learning without the long-term planning, governance, and maintenance structures required for sustained quality. The emergency shift to remote instruction during the Covid-19 pandemic intensified this pattern and created a legacy of inconsistent design, outdated materials, tool proliferation, and varied instructional practices.

As artificial intelligence increases expectations for assessment, personalization, analytics, and content creation, institutions can no longer rely on incremental improvements to their digital learning environments. They must prepare for a future where course design, curriculum alignment, and data coherence are essential for innovation and academic strategy.

Institutional leaders now face two viable pathways for modernizing the learning environment. Many will adopt a new Learning Management System (LMS) to address functional limitations, reset workflows, and improve user experience. Others will renew their existing LMS by updating design standards, strengthening governance, and improving course quality. Either path requires coordinated change management, cross campus collaboration, and careful planning.

This white paper draws on the Tambellini Group's research and advisory experience to guide leaders through the complexities of LMS transformation. It describes the case for modernization, outlines two strategic pathways, identifies five core areas that shape transformation success, strengthens the role of change management, details the architecture of effective migration processes, and offers practical roadmaps for modernization. The goal is to help institutions redesign their digital learning environment in ways that improve teaching, support students, and prepare for an AI enabled future.

THE CASE FOR MODERNIZATION

Digital learning has expanded rapidly over the past twenty years. Early online programs grew organically, often driven by individual faculty members without shared design standards or formalized support. Later, during the Covid-19 pandemic, instructors had to rapidly adapt their courses for remote instruction under extreme time constraints. While these efforts preserved academic continuity, they generated significant instructional and technical debt that remains today.

Most institutions now manage digital learning environments characterized by outdated course materials, inconsistent design patterns, accessibility gaps, and disorganized content repositories. Tool ecosystems have grown without clear governance, creating redundancy, support challenges, and uneven student experiences. Tambellini research shows that institutions commonly underestimate digital debt significantly when planning for LMS transformation.

Digital debt affects nearly every element of academic operations. It increases faculty workload, complicates curricular alignment, reduces the usefulness of analytics, limits innovation, and contributes to student confusion and disengagement. It also restricts the institution's ability to adopt artificial intelligence tools that rely on structured data, clear workflows, and consistent course design.

Artificial intelligence raises expectations for feedback, assessment, student support, and personalized learning. Institutions that modernize their digital learning environment now will be better prepared to integrate AI responsibly and effectively. Those that delay modernization may face deeper challenges and higher costs as they attempt to retrofit outdated structures to meet new instructional needs.

TWO STRATEGIC PATHWAYS: LMS REPLACEMENT OR LMS RENEWAL

Institutions that recognize the need to modernize their digital learning environment typically choose between two strategic pathways. They may replace their LMS with a new platform, or they may renew the LMS already in place. Both options can be successful when chosen intentionally and aligned with institutional goals. Replacement often receives more attention due to broader market trends and platform advancements, but renewal can be viable when platform capability is not the limiting factor.

LMS Replacement

Replacement is the preferred option when the current LMS no longer meets institutional needs. Leaders often cite usability issues, rigid workflows, limited analytics, poor mobile performance, outdated assessment tools, or integration challenges as indicators that a new platform is necessary. Replacement provides a rare opportunity to reset templates, workflows, governance, and toolsets. It enables institutions to adopt modern capabilities that support hybrid learning, analytics, accessibility, and user centered design.

Institutions that pursue replacement must prepare for a significant change effort. Replacement requires thoughtful planning, clear communication, faculty engagement, and substantial redesign work. When executed strategically, LMS replacement enhances student experience, improves instructional quality, and positions the institution for future AI driven capabilities.

LMS Renewal

Renewal is effective when the LMS is technically strong but underutilized or inconsistently applied. Renewal focuses on improving course quality, standardizing templates, rationalizing tools, strengthening governance, and clarifying expectations for digital pedagogy. It avoids the disruption and cost of a platform switch while still achieving significant improvements in instructional consistency and user experience.

Renewal works particularly well when faculty strongly prefer to retain the existing system or when the LMS aligns with institutional needs but requires better implementation and support practices.

A Practical Pathway Guide for Leaders

Choose LMS Replacement When:

- Usability issues hinder teaching and learning
- The LMS cannot support long term analytics or AI strategy
- Integration challenges affect operations
- Faculty report persistent platform related frustrations
- The institution seeks a comprehensive environment reset

Choose LMS Renewal When:

- The LMS is capable but inconsistently used
- Course design and tool proliferation are the primary problems
- Faculty prefer continuity with familiar tools
- Resources are better directed toward course redesign and governance
- The institution aims for improvement with minimal disruption

Institutions can succeed with either pathway when they approach transformation as an academic initiative supported by technology, not the other way around.

ROADMAPS FOR LMS REPLACEMENT AND RENEWAL

Roadmaps help institutions navigate LMS modernization by providing structured phases aligned to academic and operational needs.

LMS Replacement Roadmap

1. Define goals, decision criteria, and evaluation structure
2. Conduct platform evaluation and selection
3. Establish governance and readiness alignment
4. Configure system components and integrations
5. Migrate and redesign courses as needed
6. Support and train faculty and staff
7. Launch and refine the LMS environment

LMS Renewal Roadmap

1. Conduct a digital debt assessment
2. Redesign templates and standards
3. Simplify and align tools and data systems
4. Improve course quality at scale
5. Strengthen governance and faculty development
6. Build AI readiness and establish continuous review processes

FIVE CORE AREAS OF DIGITAL LEARNING MODERNIZATION

Based on extensive institutional research, Tambellini has identified five critical areas that shape successful LMS modernization. These areas apply whether an institution replaces or renews its LMS, and they form the foundation for long term digital learning quality.

Area 1: Digital Debt Diagnosis

Institutions must begin by assessing the scale and nature of digital debt across courses, templates, tools, and integrations. This diagnostic phase helps leaders understand the work required to improve quality and ensures that modernization efforts are aligned with institutional goals. Leaders often uncover gaps in course consistency, outdated content, or accessibility concerns that require redesign efforts far beyond what initial estimates suggested.

A strong diagnostic includes course inventories, content audits, integration assessments, and analysis of faculty feedback and support trends. This approach helps leaders plan timelines, allocate resources, and develop realistic expectations for redesign scope.

Area 2: Course Quality Modernization

Course quality has a direct impact on student success, faculty workload, and the institution's ability to support analytics or AI tools. Modernization efforts should update outdated assessments, improve navigation, enhance accessibility, align course materials with curriculum, and incorporate current pedagogical practices. Templates and design guidelines support consistency while giving faculty the flexibility to meet disciplinary needs.

Institutions that prioritize course quality during LMS transformation experience clearer expectations, stronger adoption, and improved learning experiences.

Area 3: Ecosystem Alignment

Tool ecosystems tend to grow organically. Without governance, tools multiply, creating redundant functions, inconsistent workflows, and increased support demands. Ecosystem alignment involves evaluating tool usage, eliminating redundancy, modernizing key capabilities, and improving interoperability. As institutions prepare for AI enabled tools, clear data flow and streamlined integrations become even more important.

Ecosystem alignment simplifies the user experience, reduces cost, and strengthens the foundation for innovation. Ecosystem alignment also requires evaluating the quality and maturity of integrations, not just the number of available tools. Institutions should assess how deeply partners integrate, how long those integrations have been maintained, and whether they extend core capabilities or compensate for platform limitations.

Area 4: Faculty Capacity and Governance

Faculty decisions shape the quality of the digital learning environment. Institutions must provide structured development pathways, clear expectations, peer support, and accessible training. Change is most successful when faculty understand why it is happening, feel supported, and trust the process.

Strong governance clarifies decision making authority for templates, tools, and workflows. Clear guidance on standards reduces confusion and fosters consistent teaching practices across programs and departments.

Area 5: AI Readiness

AI readiness depends on consistent course design, clear policies, ethical guidelines, and appropriate training. AI tools rely on structured data and well-organized course architecture. LMS modernization offers a natural opportunity to improve these foundational elements, ensuring that the institution is prepared to leverage AI for student support, feedback, analytics, and instructional design.

Institutions that proactively prepare for AI will have a competitive advantage in adapting instructional models and improving learning outcomes.

EFFECTIVE CHANGE MANAGEMENT IN LMS TRANSFORMATION

Change management is the most influential factor in LMS transformation success. Institutions must address cultural, pedagogical, and operational dimensions of change, not just technical ones.

Build Shared Understanding of Purpose

Clear communication about why the institution is modernizing its digital learning environment is essential. Leaders should explain how the change supports teaching, learning, and long-term institutional goals. Faculty respond well when they understand how modernization improves student experience and their own teaching efficiency.

Clear definitions of effective LMS usage help institutions move beyond adoption metrics. Not every course requires the same depth of engagement, and quality should be assessed based on instructional intent, modality, and learning outcomes.

Address Faculty Workload

Transforming the LMS environment includes course redesign, training on new tools, and adjusting workflows. Institutions often underestimate the time required for these tasks. Successful institutions provide incentives, structured redesign pathways, teaching load reductions, or stipends to support faculty during the transition.

Develop a Distributed Support Network

Centralized support is not sufficient for large scale transformation. Institutions should build distributed networks that include instructional designers, educational technologists, teaching center staff, and departmental mentors. These networks provide timely, contextualized support and help faculty build confidence with new tools and workflows.

Strengthen Governance

Governance clarifies who makes decisions about design standards, tool adoption, templates, and workflows. Clear governance structures improve consistency, reduce confusion, and support coordinated improvement across academic units.

Governance models vary across institutions. Some centralize standards and tools, while others allow significant local autonomy. LMS approaches implicitly support or constrain these models, making governance alignment an important consideration during modernization.

Communicate Transparently and Consistently

Frequent, clear communication helps reduce uncertainty and build trust. Progress updates, timelines, upcoming tasks, and opportunities for feedback should be shared regularly across the institution.

Monitor and Adapt

Adoption continues well beyond initial implementation. Institutions benefit from monitoring usage patterns, gathering feedback, and adjusting training, templates, or workflows. Continuous improvement supports long term sustainability.

Institutions should expect uneven LMS usage across courses, ranging from minimal presence to full instructional dependence. Defining success requires recognizing these differences rather than measuring adoption by uniform usage alone.

MIGRATION PROCESS ARCHITECTURE: HOW INSTITUTIONS SUCCESSFULLY MOVE TO A NEW LMS

Successful LMS migrations depend on structured planning, thorough preparation, and coordinated execution. The following phases reflect patterns found in institutions that navigate migration effectively.

Phase 1: Strategic Assessment and Selection Preparation

The most successful migrations begin with strategic clarity. Leaders identify the outcomes they want to achieve through the migration, such as improved usability, enhanced analytics, stronger hybrid learning support, or readiness for AI. This clarity helps institutions select platforms that align with their goals and develop implementation plans that reflect academic priorities.

Strategic assessment typically includes clarifying institutional goals, reviewing the strengths and limitations of the current LMS, gathering faculty and student feedback, and conducting high level market research. Institutions define decision criteria and form governance structures that support transparent evaluation and effective decision making.

Evaluating Long-Term Platform Fit and Viability

During strategic assessment, institutions benefit from looking beyond feature checklists and considering long-term platform fit. Key areas to evaluate include:

- Alignment between institutional mission and the provider's demonstrated investment priorities, engagement with higher education, and product decision-making history.
- Roadmap credibility, including the provider's track record of delivering on commitments, the balance between innovation and platform stability, and reliance on native capabilities versus partners.
- AI strategy clarity, including governance models, data control, auditability, and the extent to which AI capabilities are embedded, integrated, or externally dependent.
- The depth and maturity of the partner ecosystem, including longevity of integrations and whether partners extend or compensate for core platform functionality.

- Integration capabilities and processes, including API stability, support for institution-developed tools, and long-term flexibility.
- Ownership structure, financial health, and investment capacity, and how these factors influence pricing, support, and long-term sustainability.

Phase 2: Planning and Readiness Alignment

After selecting a platform, institutions prepare for implementation by aligning governance, identifying integration needs, assessing data quality, defining project roles, and coordinating timelines with academic calendars. Early planning helps institutions avoid delays, reduce risk, and ensure a smooth transition.

Phase 3: Configuration and Integration Design

Institutions configure the new LMS to support instructional and operational needs. This includes developing templates, establishing permissions, configuring tools, designing workflows, and building integrations with student information systems, authentication services, analytics platforms, and other third-party tools.

Phase 4: Course Migration and Validation

Courses are migrated into the new LMS and validated for navigation, content accuracy, assessment functionality, and accessibility. Some courses are migrated as they are, while others require redesign. Institutions often prioritize general education courses, high enrollment courses, and accreditation relevant courses.

Quality assurance measures help ensure that content meets accessibility requirements, navigation is consistent, and workflows operate as intended.

Phase 5: Training, Support, and Change Management

Institutions build training programs tailored to faculty, staff, and students. Training often includes hands on workshops, asynchronous modules, guides, and office hours. Leaders ensure that faculty have access to support resources and time to redesign courses. Communication efforts keep stakeholders informed throughout the transition.

Phase 6: Go Live and Continuous Optimization

After launch, institutions monitor performance, gather feedback, and adjust templates, workflows, and support resources as needed. Leaders evaluate the implementation process, identify opportunities for improvement, and reinforce practices that support long term sustainability.

PREPARING FOR THE AI FUTURE

Artificial intelligence is transforming higher education. Institutions that modernize their digital learning environment now will be better positioned to use AI tools responsibly and effectively. AI readiness depends on structured course design, clear data models, accessible materials, and strong governance.

As institutions evaluate AI readiness, leaders should distinguish between different AI models and strategies. This includes understanding the implications of generative versus agentic approaches, native versus third-party dependencies, and how governance, data ownership, and auditability are handled. These distinctions shape risk, flexibility, and long-term institutional autonomy.

Institutions that prepare for AI can adopt tools that support personalized learning, automated feedback, intelligent tutoring, advanced analytics, and more. LMS modernization creates the foundational structures needed for AI to operate effectively within academic environments.

CONCLUSION: MOVING FORWARD WITH CLARITY AND CONFIDENCE

Rebuilding the digital learning environment is a complex and essential undertaking. Whether institutions choose LMS replacement or renewal, they must assess digital debt, redesign courses, align ecosystems, support faculty, strengthen governance, and prepare for AI. These efforts shape student experience, faculty success, and institutional resilience.

Strategic guidance, research-based insight, and experienced facilitation are essential for navigating LMS transformation. The Tambellini Group partners with institutions to design modern, coherent, and future ready digital learning environments that support high quality teaching and learning.

METHODOLOGY

Tambellini maintains, updates, and delivers the most comprehensive database of institution technology profiles available. The company began tracking this data in 2001 in response to client requests, and today provides data to global organizations and institutions. Tambellini gathers and maintains publicly available data on higher education technology selections from a variety of sources, including press releases, institution materials (e.g., project websites, presentations), news articles, and vendor case studies. Tambellini conducts primary research including surveys and interviews with institutions. Institutions provide updates to technology profiles through online research portals on various Tambellini websites.

While other firms have historically provided some information and opinions regarding market trends, the data to support these opinions has typically been provided by vendors minus detailed customer lists or by survey responses, which have limited response rates. Without the benefit of detailed, verified technology profiles, institutions, vendors, consultants, and financial analysts were generally left on their own to try and find enough critical technology data to support important decisions. Tambellini's Education Institution Technology Profile Database® is used by institutions to find peers with similar technology implementations, for benchmarking comparisons, and vendor references. Vendors, consultants, financial analysts, investors, and venture capitalists also utilize the database to gain insight into market-share data and other facts about vendor sales history that are not available from any other single source. Prior to the availability of this database, it was difficult to track and understand market-share data by vendor and vendor product.

Future Campus Impacts

The Future Campus™ Framework is Tambellini's innovative tool for generating strategic-level conversations and action for appropriate investments and divestments across the four key pillars of people, process, data, and technology. The Framework is scored across ten key higher education workspaces.

Rebuilding the digital learning environment can affect the following Future Campus workspaces:

Outcomes	Digital learning modernization is positioned as foundational to improving instructional quality, curricular coherence, and learning experiences that directly influence student success and post-graduation readiness.
Retain	Consistent course design, improved usability, and stronger digital support structures are treated as critical factors in reducing student friction, improving engagement, and supporting persistence across modalities.
Operations	LMS replacement and renewal are framed as strategic technology decisions that address accumulated digital debt, rationalize tool ecosystems, and establish a scalable, integrated foundation for future academic needs.
Governance	Clear governance over course standards, tool adoption, faculty expectations, and decision authority is emphasized as essential to sustaining digital learning quality and managing institution-wide change.
Innovate	AI readiness, ecosystem alignment, and continuous improvement are positioned as institutional capabilities enabled by a modernized digital learning environment rather than isolated technology initiatives.

ABOUT TAMBELLINI GROUP

The Tambellini Group, now part of MGT, has been the leading provider of unbiased and proprietary research and advisory services to higher education since 2001. Our mission is to equip educational institutions with the impartial insights, tools, and market predictions needed to make informed technology decisions while maximizing return-on-investment and constituent experience. We empower institutions to overcome challenges, seize opportunities, and pave the way toward a brighter future for higher education.

TERMS OF USE

This report contains confidential, proprietary and trade secret information by The Tambellini Group, LLC. Unauthorized distribution is strictly prohibited. All rights reserved. No portion of this report and strategic analysis may be reproduced, given, lent, resold or disclosed in whole or in part without the written permission of and attribution to The Tambellini Group, LLC. Only the person, institution, school, company or organization that has licensed this report may access and use the report. You may print and use this report inside your institution, school, organization or company as part of an institution, school, organization or company license. You may not distribute this report, in whole or part, to others outside of your institution, school, organization, or company unless you have specifically licensed the rights to distribute the report for external use. The Tambellini Group offers no specific guarantee regarding the accuracy or completeness of the information presented, but The Tambellini Group makes every reasonable effort to present the most reliable information available. The Tambellini Group assumes no liability for errors, omissions or discrepancies in the information contained in this report.

The research for this report is not sponsored, and The Tambellini Group relies on fees from its Peertelligent® and Market Insights℠ subscription services for publication. As such, The Tambellini Group issues each copy of the report to the institution, school, organization or company that licenses it. Trade secret and other violations are therefore enforced to the fullest extent.

The Tambellini Group and The Tambellini Group logo are trademarks or service marks of The Tambellini Group, and may be registered in the US, other countries or both. Other third-party trademarks or service marks are property of their respective owners.

DISCLAIMER

The Tambellini Group does not recommend or endorse any vendor solutions for higher education. The Tambellini Group is an independent research firm. The Tambellini Group provides selected information about vendors based on research. The Tambellini Group makes every effort to validate all of the information presented in this report and performs all research in good faith. The Tambellini Group relies on publicly available information, interviews with higher education institutions and vendor feedback in order to prepare and publish reports which contain timely and helpful points of interest. By using information in this report, you acknowledge that you do so at your own risk. No information contained in this report shall create any warranty or liability. You should obtain any additional information necessary to make an informed decision prior to taking any actions related to the material in this report.

You assume all responsibility in connection with selecting a vendor solution, whether or not you obtained information about such solution through this report. The Tambellini Group and its officers, contractors and affiliates assume no (and hereby disclaims all) responsibility of any kind, for any advice, treatment or other services rendered by any vendor or for any other claims that may arise directly or indirectly from such advice or other services.

THIS REPORT IS PROVIDED ON AN "AS IS" AND "AS AVAILABLE" BASIS, AND IS INTENDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. WHILE WE STRIVE TO PROVIDE THE MOST UP TO DATE INFORMATION AVAILABLE, THE REPORT MAY CONTAIN TECHNICAL OR OTHER INACCURACIES OR TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS, AND MAY BE CHANGED OR UPDATED WITHOUT NOTICE.

WAIVER OF WARRANTIES. THE TAMBELLINI GROUP MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES WITH RESPECT TO THE REPORT AND ANY AND ALL THE TAMBELLINI GROUP SERVICES PROVIDED TO YOU. THE TAMBELLINI GROUP HEREBY EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ANY AND ALL WARRANTIES, WHETHER EXPRESS, IMPLIED, OR STATUTORY, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, NON-INFRINGEMENT, AND ANY WARRANTIES CONCERNING THE AVAILABILITY, RELIABILITY, COMPLETENESS, AND/OR QUALITY WITH REGARD TO THE REPORT AND ANY AND ALL THE TAMBELLINI GROUP SERVICES PROVIDED TO YOU.

The terms in this report will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia, without regard to the conflict of laws and rules thereof, and may be amended only in writing signed by The Tambellini Group. You agree any violation of the trade secret provisions herein may cause irreparable harm and damage to The Tambellini Group. For this reason, you agree The Tambellini Group may seek injunctive relief for your breach, in addition to other remedies at law or in equity, without having to prove damages or post bond. In all court proceedings brought in connection with this report and/or The Tambellini Group's services provided to you, the parties hereto irrevocably consent to exclusive personal jurisdiction by, and venue in, the courts of the City of Richmond, Virginia and the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia.